



HH

COPY OF PAPERS
ORIGINALLY FILED

RECEIVED - PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE
m/p
\$

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Re: Application No. 10/007,189

Date: March 13, 2002

Filed: September 23, 1996

For: Rivet Removing Tool

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION ERRONEOUSLY CLAIMING MISSING PARTS

Applicant's attorney spoke to a representative of the Patent and Trademark Office shortly after the missing parts notice of January 15 was received and for reasons now to be explained the representative said that there was no \$65 fee due or a two month deadline date to respond because the reason given for the deadline, the alleged submission of an unsigned oath or declaration, was in error. In other words, after re-examining the file, the representative affirmed that the applicant had signed the declaration involved.

The notice referred to also alleged that the drawings did not have proper margins. Enclosed are drawings drawn on two sheets rather than the original one sheet, which are believed to have the proper margins. The actual sheets not enclosed are on larger sized drawing paper and will be submitted after the application is allowed.

Respectfully Submitted,

Russell E. Hattis
Russell E. Hattis

Attorney for Applicant